

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,805	09/17/2003	Sang Hyun Kim	8733.913.00-US	3765
30827	7590 10/11/200	5	EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			GOUDREAU, GEORGE A	
	ON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1763	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	7					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/663,805	KIM, SANG HYUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George A. Goudreau	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS and application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (9-1)	7-03' to 4-21-05').					
•						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been red u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
George A Joudnaan						
		ORGE GÓÙDREAU) MARY EXAMINER				
Attachment(s)		10-051				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/663,805 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

1. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- -The wording used throughout claims 6, 19, and 23 is written in a very confusing manner, and should be reworded.;
- -The usage of the term "predetermined" in the claims is vague, and indefinite.
- -The scope of the preamble in claim 1 is not commensurate with the body of claim 1. (The preamble recites a method for forming a thin film transistor. The body of the claim does not positively recite a sufficient number of steps for forming a thin film transistor.); and
- -The scope of the preamble in claim 14 is not commensurate with the body of claim 14. (The preamble recites a method for forming a thin film transistor. The body of the claim does not positively recite a sufficient number of steps for forming a thin film transistor.)
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hirabayashi et. al. (JP 2000-040,828).

Hirabayashi et. al. disclose a process for polysi thin film transistor which is comprised of the following steps:

Application/Control Number: 10/663,805 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

-A gate oxide layer (i.e.-buffer layer) (12) is formed onto the surface of a CZ-Si wafer.:

- -An amorphous Si layer is formed onto the surface of the gate oxide layer. (12);
- -The amorphous Si layer is converted to a polysi layer (13) by laser annealing the amorphous Si layer.;
- -The polysi layer (13) is cmp polished to remove protrusions on the surface of the polysi layer as well as reduce the thickness of the polysi layer.;
- -The polysi layer is patterned using photo etching process to produce a barrier layer (15).;
- -A gate insulator layer (19) is conformably formed onto the surface of the wafer.;
- -A gate layer is formed onto the surface of the gate insulating layer to form a gate (17).

This is discussed specifically in the abstract; and discussed in general on pages 1-4. This is shown in figures 1-3.

- 4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Shibuya et. al. (JP 10-200,120).
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1763

6. Claims 15-18, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied in paragraphs 3 or 4 above further in view of Takagi (JP 2002-006,338).

The references as applied in either paragraphs 3 or 4 above fail to disclose the following aspects of applicant's claimed invention:

- -the usage of a excimer type layer to re-crystallize the amorphous Si layer in the processes, which are taught above;
- -the formation of the amorphous Si layer to the specific thicknesses, which are claimed by the applicant;
- -the etching of the polysi layer to the specific thicknesses which are claimed by the applicant; and
- -the etching back of the polysi layer to remove the protrusions on the surface of the polysi layer

Takagi teaches that it is desirable to use a plasma etch back process to flatten the surface of a polysi layer on a wafer. This is discussed specifically in the abstract; and discussed in general in 1-5. This is shown in figures 1-11.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to employ a plasma etch back process to remove the protrusions on the surface of the poylsi layer of the device fabricated in any of the processes which is taught above based upon the following.

Takagi teaches that it is desirable to do such. Further, this would simply represent the usage of an alternative, and at least equivalent means for conducting the removal of the

Art Unit: 1763

protrusions on the polysi layer in the processes, which are taught above to the specific means, which are taught above.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to employ an excimer laser to conduct the thermal annealing (i.e.-re-crystallization) of the amorphous Si layer in any of the processes, which are taught above based upon the following. The usage of an excimer laser to conduct a thermal annealing process is conventional or at least well known in the semiconductor processing arts. (The examiner takes official notice in this regard.) Further, this would simply represent the usage of an alternative, and at least equivalent means for conducting the thermal annealing in any of the processes, which are taught above to the specific means, which taught above.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to thin the polysi layer in the process, which is taught above to the specific thicknesses, which are claimed by the applicant based upon the following. It would have been desirable to thin or etch the polysi to a sufficient thickness to adequately remove all of the polysi protrusions without removing an excessive amount of the polysi layer, which would adversely effect the electrical properties of the fabricated device.

It would have been desirable to form the amorphous Si layer in any of the processes, which are taught above to the specific thicknesses, which are claimed by the applicant based upon the following. It would have been desirable to form an adequate thickness of this conductive layer of the device to meet the needed current carrying capacity for this device without forming an excessively thick layer of amorphous Si, which would waste both precious process time, and process materials.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,805

Art Unit: 1763

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 6

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner George A. Goudreau at telephone number (571)-272-1434.

George A. Goudreau

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763